

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

WILLIAM ROBERT ATKINS,  
Petitioner,

v.

SUPERINTENDENT OF SCI  
SOMERSET,  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE  
OF PENNSYLVANIA and  
DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF  
LANCASTER COUNTY, PA,  
Respondents.

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 24-4488

**O R D E R**

AND NOW, this 8th day of April, 2025, upon consideration of Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 for Writ of Habeas Corpus submitted by *pro se* petitioner and the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Pamela A. Carlos dated February 7, 2025, there being no objection to the Report and Recommendation despite the passage of time for doing so, **IT IS ORDERED** as follows:

1. The Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Pamela A. Carlos dated February 7, 2025, is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED**.
2. The Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 for Writ of Habeas Corpus submitted by *pro se* petitioner is **DIMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** as untimely.
3. A certificate of appealability will not issue because reasonable jurists would not debate (a) this Court's decision that the petition does not state a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right, or (b) the propriety of this Court's procedural ruling with respect to petitioner's claims. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).
4. The Clerk of Court shall **MARK** this case **CLOSED**.

BY THE COURT:  
/s/ Hon. Kelley B. Hodge

**HODGE, KELLEY B., J.**